UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|---------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/599,989 | 10/17/2006 | Gerard Van Der Weide | NL 040409 | 7910 |
| 24737 7590 10/01/2009 PHILIPS INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY & STANDARDS P.O. BOX 3001 | | | EXAMINER | |
| | | | CORRIELUS, JEAN B | |
| BRIARCLIFF | RIARCLIFF MANOR, NY 10510 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2611 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 10/01/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|-----------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/599,989 | VAN DER WEIDE ET AL. | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Jean B. Corrielus | 2611 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet with the c | orrespondence address | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 Au | aust 2009 and 25 August 2009. | | | | | |
| <u> </u> | | | | | | |
| ·= | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | |
| ,— | closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-14</u> is/are pending in the application. | 4) Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. | | | | | |
| ·- · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | |
| 5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>Z</u> is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3,6 and 8-12</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) <u>4-5,13-14</u> is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | election requirement. | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (P1O-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 4) 🔛 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application | | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/599,989 Page 2

Art Unit: 2611

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claims 4-5 and 13-14 are objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 4, line 7, "operating operable" does not make sense. Was "operating" intended? Line 8, "operating wherein said circuit is operable" does not make sense. Was "operating" intended?

As per claim 5, comment made with respect to claim 4 applies similarly to similar limitation(s) recited in claim 5. In addition, what element in the drawing corresponds to the means plus function limitation recited in the claim?

As per claim 13, line 2, "wherein said circuit comprises" should be replaced by "comprising".

As per claim 14, what element in the drawing corresponds to the means plus function limitation recited in the claim?

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-3, 6 and 8-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Miller et al WO 01/93444 A1 in view of Maio et al US Patent No. 4,578,646.

Art Unit: 2611

As per claim 1, Miller et al teaches a method and apparatus comprising (fig. 3) a pulse generator 112 for generating pulses based on an expected received signal; a multiplier 106 for multiplying a received signal by the generated pulses; and a circuit 107 for receiving the multiplier output for integrating the received signal in a first mode. However, Miller fails to teach that the circuit can be operable in a second mode to act as a low pass filter. Maio et al, fig. 1 teaches a circuit that is operable in a first mode in which the circuit act as an integrator, when the switch 13 is in the open position, and acts in a second mode as a low pass filter when the switch 13 is in the closed position note col. 2, lines 57-60 and col. 3, lines 10-13 and fig. 1. Given that fact, it would have been obvious to one skill in the art to have modified the circuit of Miller in the manner suggested by Maio so as to provide a circuit arrangement that does not respond to unwanted noises during the absence of the input signal within the integration period as taught by Maio see col. 1, lines 55-59.

As per claim 2, Miller et al teaches an A/D converter 108 at the output of the circuit.

As per claim 3, see claim 2.

As per claim 6, see claim 1.

As per claim 8, see claim 1.

As per claim 9, Miller teaches that the signal is UWB signal see fig. 1A.

As per claim 10, see the rejection of claim 1. In addition, Miller et al teaches a system fig. 1A comprising a transmitter 13 and a receiver 11.

As per claim 11, see claim 2.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,989 Page 4

Art Unit: 2611

As per claim 12, see claim 2.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claims 4, 5 and 13-14 are objected to but would be allowable if amended to overcome the objection set forth above.

5. Claim 7 is allowed.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed 8/25/09 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. Applicant asserted that there is no motivation to combine the references. However, it is noted that the office action includes proper motivation, supported by or found in the reference itself. (See above rejection).

Conclusion

7. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/599,989

Art Unit: 2611

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jean B. Corrielus whose telephone number is 571-272-3020. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 9:30-3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chieh Fan can be reached on 571-272-3042. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jean B Corrielus/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2611

Page 5